

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 05-5261

September Term, 2005

05cv01019

Princeton Hummingway,
Appellant

v.

United States of America and Department of Justice,
Appellees

Filed On

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

FILED

JAN 11 2006

CLERK

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Ginsburg, Chief Judge, and Randolph and Brown, Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief and appendix filed by appellant. It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed May 19, 2005 be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing the complaint without prejudice on the ground that it did not comply with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). See Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 668-69 (D.C. Cir. 2004).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

MANDATE	
Pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. App. Pro. 41(a)	
ISSUED:	6, 7, 2006
BY:	<i>[Signature]</i> Deputy Clerk
ATTACHED:	<input type="checkbox"/> Amending Order <input type="checkbox"/> Opinion <input type="checkbox"/> Order on Costs

Per Curiam

[Signatures]

A True copy:

United States Court of Appeals
for the District of Columbia Circuit
By: *[Signature]* Deputy Clerk